RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT

Electrostatic Precipitation System for Radionuclide Particle Collection

Updated Briefing

Ariane Chepko (abc@creare.com) Michael Swanwick Patrick Magari

SBIR/STTR Rights Notice (JAN 2015)

These SBIR/STTR data are furnished with SBIR/STTR rights under Award No. DE-SC0015731. Unless the Government obtains permission from the Recipient otherwise, the Government will protect SBIR/STTR data from non-governmental use and from disclosure outside the Government, except for purposes of review, for a period starting at the receipt of the SBIR/STTR data and ending after 4 years, unless extended in accordance with 48 CFR 27.409(h), from the delivery of the last technical deliverable under this award. In order for SBIR/STTR data to be extended by an SBIR/STTR Phase III award, the Recipient must properly notify DOE's Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) before the end of the previous protection period. After the protection period, the Government has a paid-up license to use, and to authorize others to use on its behalf, these data for Government purposes, but is relieved of all disclosure prohibitions and assumes no liability for unauthorized use of these data by third parties. This notice shall be affixed to any reproductions of these data, in whole or in part.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared by Creare LLC for the Department of Energy. Neither Creare, nor any person acting on its behalf, makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this report. Nor is any representation made that the use of the information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately-owned rights.

Creare assumes no liability with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method or process disclosed in this report.

Acknowledgment

This material is based upon work supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science, under Award Number DE-SC0015731.

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof."



Radionuclide Aerosol Collection

• International Monitoring System Radionuclide Stations

- Each station includes Radionuclide Particulate Monitoring
- Existing system is the Radionuclide Aerosol Sampler/Analyzer (RASA)
- Samples captured in a filter-paper collector over 24-hour sample period (batch process)
- Decay of fission isotopes are measured with gamma-ray spectrometry: provides positive proof of nuclear detonation
- Samples are archived for physical analysis if desired

Challenges for Current Systems

- Power Consumption
 - During Fukushima incident, power stability was an issue for aerosol detection near the site
 - Filter-paper based approach requires high blower power due to large ΔP across filter
- Sensitivity
 - Blower power limits air flow rate and total sample quantity
 - Collecting more particles per sample period will increase instrument sensitivity
 - Environments with high background radiation can limit instrument sensitivity (higher noise levels require more signal to overcome)



Radionuclide Monitoring Station Locations- 63/80 certified. https://www.ctbto.org/map/



Radionuclide Aerosol Collection

- A new collection system is desired that consumes less power
 - Enable operation in power-limited locations/operating periods (existing system employs a 3 hp blower)
 - A system with a lower pressure drop may enable higher sampling rates
- Electrostatic precipitation offers low power alternative to filter-based approaches
 - Cross contamination of collected samples must be avoided
 - Commercial ESPs are not focused on sample preservation
 - Samples must be packaged for detector integration

• System requirements

- Full-scale system flow rates: 500 m³/hr to 2,000 m³/hr of higher (current system samples at ~1000 m³/hr)
- Particle collection efficiency
 - η > 90% for particle diameters 0.1 µm 1.0 µm
 - η > 50% for particle diameters > 10 µm
- Minimize system power << 3 hp (2.2 kW) blower requirement for current RASA
- Minimize sample cross-contamination
- Compact system size



Electrostatic Precipitation

- Electrostatic precipitation operation:
 - A high voltage is applied between two electrodes (such as a thin wire and a flat plate) and the aerosol flow is passed between them
 - A corona is generated at the discharge electrode
 - The ionized gas molecules collide with the particles entrained in the flow, and charge builds up on the particles
 - The charged particles are drawn to the collector electrode by the electric field force where they stick, held by static and van der Walls forces
- ESP systems can achieve very high collection efficiencies (>99.5%) across a wide range of particle sizes: 30 nm to >100 µm





Copyright © 2017 Creare LLC An unpublished work. All rights reserved.

Phase I Design Concept

- Full-scale system requirements:
 - Fit within general RASA dimensions if possible ~(40 cm x 60 cm x 13 cm)
 - Maintain particle collection efficiency >90%
 - Minimize power
 - Reduce sample to at least 10 cm x 40 cm strip to interface with detector

• ESP collector design:

- ESP form factor challenge—long and narrow
 - Longer flow-dimension increases collection efficiency
- Goal to minimize system volume (length) while maintaining performance
- Minimize system complexity for sample handling to support long-term, autonomous operation
- Minimize cross-contamination between successive samples
- Mode of Operation: Sample 24 hrs, Decay 24 hrs, Detect 24 hrs





MTG-17-11-6351/1018113-6

Copyright © 2017 Creare LLC An unpublished work. All rights reserved.

Phase I Design Concept

- Wire-plate ESP design with multiple rectangular flow channels
- Layers of flexible, conductive collector sheets drawn through ESP crosswise to flow direction
- Layers are heat sealed at top and bottom edges
- Sample is folded in accordion-like fashion to reduce dimensions to detector interface (10 cm Height)





ESP Modeling

- Instrument sensitivity is a function of collection efficiency AND flow rate (sample volume)
- Optimization of sensitivity vs. power/ size may be better if flowthrough collection efficiency target is reduced (90% is goal, IMS requirement is 80%)



Using 12,000 m³ as nominal sample volume



ESP Modeling

Collection Efficiency and Total Power vs ESP Voltage for varying flow rates in an ESP design concept



Greare

Copyright © 2017 Creare LLC An unpublished work. All rights reserved.

Sample Handling

Trade-offs in Sample Presentation: Smaller Sample Package Results in Increase Instrument Sensitivity

Table 1: Sampling Handling System Trade-Offs		
Sample Format	Folding System Size / Complexity	Instrument Sensitivity Gains
~10 cm Wide Strip; Wrap Around Detector	Least Complex, Allows for Larger Sheets (up to at least 1 m) or More ESP Ducts	Same as RASA (1X)
~5 cm Wide Strip; Wrap Around Detector	More Complex, Limits Sheet Size to < ~1 m	~2X RASA
<10 cm x <10 cm Packet; On Top of Detector	Most Complex, Limits Sheet Size to < ~1 m (Dependent on System Specifics)	> 2X RASA (?) Dependent on Specific Geomtries



MTG-17-11-6351/1018113-10

Copyright © 2017 Creare LLC An unpublished work. All rights reserved.